arXiv:hep-th/0505033v2 3 Jun 2007

NRCPS-HE-05-44

Generalization of Yang-Mills Theory [1]

Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields
and
Higher-Spin Extension of Standard Model

George Savvidy
Demokritos National Research Center
Institute of Nuclear Physics
Ag. Paraskevi, GR-15310 Athens,Greece
E-mail: savvidy@inp.demokritos.gr

Abstract

In the present paper we shall extend the gauge principle so that it will enlarge the original algebra of the Abelian gauge transformations found earlier in our studies of tensionless strings to the non-Abelian case. In this extension of the Yang-Mills theory the vector gauge boson becomes a member of a bigger family of gauge bosons of arbitrary large integer spins. The invariant Lagrangian does not contain higher derivatives of tensor gauge fields and all interactions take place through three- and four-particle exchanges with dimensionless coupling constant. The extended gauge theory has the same index of divergences of its Feynman diagrams as the Yang-Mills theory does and most probably will be renormalizable. The proposed extension may lead to a natural inclusion of the standard theory of fundamental forces into a larger theory in which vector gauge bosons, leptons and quarks represent a low-spin subgroup of an enlarged family of particles with higher spins. I analyze the masses of the new tensor gauge bosons, their decay and creation processes in the extended standard model.

---

[1] Dedicated to the 50th anniversary of the Yang-Mills theory[1].

1 *Introduction*

It is well understood, that the concept of local gauge invariance allows to define the non-Abelian gauge fields, to derive their dynamical field equations and to develop a universal point of view on matter interactions as resulting from the exchange of gauge quanta of different forms [1, 2]. The fundamental forces - electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions can successfully be described by the non-Abelian Yang-Mills fields. The vector-like gauge particles - the photon, $W^{\pm}, Z$ and gluons mediate interaction between smallest constituents of matter - leptons and quarks [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22] .

The non-Abelian local gauge invariance, which was formulated by Yang and Mills in[1], requires that all interactions must be invariant under independent rotations of internal charges at all space-time points[2]. The gauge principle allows very little arbitrariness: the interaction of matter fields, which carry non-commuting internal charges, and the nonlinear self-interaction of gauge bosons are essentially fixed by the requirement of local gauge invariance, very similar to the self-interaction of gravitons in general relativity.

It is therefore appealing to extend the gauge principle, which was elevated by Yang and Mills to a powerful constructive principle [1], so that it will define the interaction of matter fields which carry not only non-commutative internal charges, but also arbitrary half-integer spins. It seems that this will naturally lead to a theory in which fundamental forces will be mediated by integer-spin gauge quanta and that the Yang-Mills vector gauge boson will become a member of a bigger family of tensor gauge bosons.

Today there is no experimental evidence of the existence of such new particles at the energy scale of order of few hundred GeV. But the standard string theory predicts the existence of fundamental particles of arbitrary large spins and masses of the order of the Planck mass scale, while the multiplicity of these particles grows exponentially.

Alternatively, in the tensionless string theory with perimeter action, the number of fundamental particles with large spins grows linearly [29, 30]. In this respect the number of states in the perimeter model is much less compared with the standard string theory and is larger compared with the field theory models of the Yang-Mills type. From this point of view it is therefore much closer to the quantum field theory rather than to the standard string theory and the main question which we would like to address in this article is whether one can formulate the corresponding field theory [30].

In the present paper we shall extend the gauge principle so that it will enlarge the original algebra of the Abelian local gauge transformations found in [30] to the non-Abelian case and will allow to unify into one multiplet particles with arbitrary large spins. The proposed generalization may lead to a natural inclusion of the standard theory of fundamental forces into a larger theory in which standard particles (vector gauge bosons, leptons and quarks) represent a low-spin subgroup of an enlarged family of particles with higher spins. The conjectured extension of the fundamental forces can in principle be checked in future experiments.

It is important to note that the literature on higher-spin fields is enormous and I shall not attempt to give a comprehensive review of it here. The early investigation of higher-spin representations of the Poincaré algebra and of the corresponding field equations is due to Majorana, Dirac and Wigner[34, 35, 37]. The theory of massive particles of higher spin was further developed by Fierz and Pauli [36] and Rarita and Schwinger [38]. The Lagrangian and S-matrix formulations of *free field theory* of massive and massless fields with higher spin have been completely constructed in [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47].

---

[2] The early formulation of the Abelian gauge invariance of the quantum electrodynamics was given in [23, 24, 25, 26, 27] (see also [28]).

The problem of *introducing interaction* appears to be much more complex. There is a large amount of publications devoted to the self-interaction of higher-spin fields [48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 60]. The main idea is to introduce self-interaction using iterations: starting from the free quadratic Lagrangian for the higher-spin field one should introduce a cubic, quadratic and higher-order terms to the free Lagrangian and then check, whether the so deformed algebra of the initial group of transformations (65) still forms a closed algebraic structure. This program was able to reproduce successfully general relativity, but met enormous difficulties for spin fields higher than two [54, 55, 56, 57]. There is also important development of interacting field theories in anti-de Sitter space-time background, which is reviewed in [58, 59].

The first positive result in this direction was the light-front construction of the cubic interaction term for the massless field of helicity $\pm\lambda$ in [61, 62]. As it was mentioned by the authors, it was important to generalize their construction to higher-order vertices in order to see, whether the theory is fully consistent. They also stressed that there are λ derivatives in the cubic interaction vertex, the coupling constant has dimension of $[mass]^{1-\lambda}$ and that the dimensional coupling constant would be difficult to handle in quantum theory because the index of divergence $r = \lambda - 1$ is not equal to zero. This result of Lars Brink and his collaborators raised expectations that a consistent interacting theory might exist in flat space-time.

In our approach the gauge fields are defined as rank-$(s+1)$ tensors

$$A^{a}_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x), \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

and are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_s$. A priory the tensor fields have no symmetries with respect to the first index μ. This is an essential departure from the previous considerations, in which the higher-rank tensors were totally symmetric [36, 39, 44, 46]. The index s runs from zero to infinity. The first member of this family of the tensor gauge bosons is the Yang-Mills vector boson A^a_μ.

The fermions are defined as Rarita-Schwinger tensor-spinors [38]

$$\psi^{\alpha}_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x)$$

with mixed transformation properties of Dirac four-component wave function (the index α denotes the Dirac index) and are totally symmetric tensors of the rank s over the indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_s$. All fields of the $\{\psi\}$ family are isotopic multiplets belonging to the same representation σ of the semisimple Lie group G (the corresponding indices are suppressed). The bosonic matter is defined as totally symmetric Fierz-Pauli rank-s tensors [36]

$$\phi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x)$$

all belonging to the same representation τ of the semisimple Lie group G.

We shall enlarge the global transformation group of the matter fields in a way which has been found in [30] (expression (64) in [30]). The extended isotopic transformation of the fermion fields is defined as (34)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \delta_\xi \psi(x) &=& -i\xi(x)\ \psi(x), \\ \delta_\xi \psi_{\lambda_1}(x) &=& -i\xi(x)\ \psi_{\lambda_1}(x), -i\xi_{\lambda_1}(x)\ \psi(x) \\ .... & . & ...., \end{array}$$

where the infinitesimal group parameters $\xi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x) = \sigma_a \xi^a_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x)$ are totally symmetric rank-s tensors and are the matrices of the corresponding representation σ^{ij}_a of the semisimple Lie group G. As one can check, the extended isotopic transformations form a closed algebraic structure:

$$[\ \delta_\eta, \delta_\xi]\ \psi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s} = i\ \delta_\zeta \psi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}.$$

The transformation of the bosonic matter fields $\phi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x)$ is defined in the same way (42)[3].

The extended non-Abelian gauge transformations of the tensor gauge fields are defined by the following equations (9), (10), (27):

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi], \\
\delta_\xi A_{\mu\lambda_1} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1} - ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\lambda_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1}, \xi], \\
& \quad ....,
\end{aligned}$$

where the tensor gauge fields are in the matrix representation $A^{ab}_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s} = (L_c)^{ab} A^c_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s} = if^{acb} A^c_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$, and L^a are the generators of the semisimple Lie group G in the adjoint representation. Because the infinitesimal gauge parameters $\xi^b_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ are totally symmetric rank-s tensors, the extended gauge transformation leaves the symmetries of the tensor fields intact. These extended gauge transformations generate a closed algebraic structure. To see that, one should compute the commutator of two extended gauge transformations δ_η and δ_ξ of parameters η and ξ. The commutator of two transformations can be expressed in the form

$$[\ \delta_\eta, \delta_\xi]\ A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s} \ = \ -ig\ \delta_\zeta A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}$$

and is again an extended gauge transformation with the gauge parameters $\{\zeta\}$ which are given by the matrix commutators (11) (30)

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta &= [\eta, \xi] \\
\zeta_{\lambda_1} &= [\eta, \xi_{\lambda_1}] + [\eta_{\lambda_1}, \xi] \\
...... &\ . \ ....
\end{aligned}$$

The first three terms of the invariant Lagrangian have the following form (4), (32), (33):

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + g_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + ... = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} + g_2 \{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}\ \} \ + \ ...,$$

where the first term is the Yang-Mills Lagrangian, the second and the third ones describe the tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\nu}, A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ and g_2 is the new coupling constant. The generalized field strengths in this expression are defined as (5),(6):

$$\begin{aligned}
G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} &= \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda} + gf^{abc}(\ A^b_\mu\ A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\ A^c_\nu\ ), \\
G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} &= \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda\rho} + gf^{abc}(\ A^b_\mu\ A^c_{\nu\lambda\rho} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\ A^c_{\nu\rho} + A^b_{\mu\rho}\ A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho}\ A^c_\nu\ ), \\
...... &\ . \ ....
\end{aligned}$$

and transform homogeneously with respect to the extended gauge transformations. The field strength tensors are antisymmetric in their first two indices and are totaly symmetric with respect to the rest of the indices. By induction the entire construction can be generalized to include tensor fields of any rank s.

---

[3] The representations can be different for $\{\psi\}$ and $\{\phi\}$ families.

It is important that: i) *the Lagrangian does not contain higher derivatives of tensor gauge fields and that ii) all interactions take place through the three- and four-particle exchanges with dimensionless coupling constant iii) the extended gauge transformations do not define the coupling constant* g_2.

The coupling constant g_2 remains arbitrary because every term in the sum is separately gauge invariant and the extended gauge symmetry alone does not define it. The immediate conclusion which can be drawn from above properties is that the extended gauge theory will have the same index of divergences of its Feynman diagrams as the Yang-Mills theory does and most probably will be renormalizable. The other conclusion is that the second-rank tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu}$, which in our theory is an arbitrary nonsymmetric tensor $A^a_{\mu\nu} \neq A^a_{\nu\mu}$, does not directly coincide with the graviton, because it is a charged gauge field and it has different gauge symmetries and interactions.

As a next step we shall introduce the gauge invariant interaction of the tensor gauge bosons with the higher-rank fermion and boson matter fields. The invariant Lagrangian for fermions has the form (39)

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \bar{\psi}(i \not\partial + g \not{A})\psi + \bar{\psi}_\lambda(i \not\partial + g \not{A})\psi_\lambda + ...$$

and for bosons (46)

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \nabla_\mu \phi^+ \, \nabla_\mu \phi + \nabla_\mu \phi^+_\lambda \, \nabla_\mu \phi_\lambda + g^2 \phi^+ A_{\mu\lambda} A_{\mu\lambda} \phi + ...$$

The proposed gauge invariant theory of interacting higher-spin particles leads to a natural inclusion of the standard model of fundamental forces into a larger theory in which standard particles (vector gauge bosons, leptons and quarks) represent a low-spin subgroup of an enlarged family of particles with higher spins. The conjectured extension of the fundamental forces can in principle be checked in future experiments. This possibility is explored in the following sections.

In the second section we shall outline the derivation of the pure Yang-Mills theory, the transformation properties of the gauge fields, the definition of the corresponding field stress tensor and expression for the invariant Lagrangian. Necessary matrix notations are also introduced.

In the third section we shall introduce the tensor gauge fields of the second rank together with their extended gauge transformation properties and shall prove that these transformations form a closed algebraic structure. The corresponding generalization of the field stress tensor will also be defined. We shall present the invariant Lagrangian for the second-rank tensor gauge fields and derive their classical field equations. In section four this construction will be extended to include tensor gauge fields of the third rank. In the fifth section we shall present the general construction for the tensor gauge fields of arbitrary rank s.

In the sixth section we shall incorporate into the theory the fermions of half-integer spins. We shall construct the gauge invariant Lagrangian describing interaction of the tensor gauge fields with half-integer spin fermions. In the seventh section this construction will be extended to include scalar fields and integer-spin bosonic matter. The Higgs mechanism will be extended to generate masses of the tensor gauge bosons.

In the eighth and ninth sections a tensor extension of the strong and electroweak theories will be suggested. I analyze the masses of the new tensor gauge bosons, their decay and creation processes in the extended standard model. Section ten contains the generalization of the non-Abelian transformations to totally symmetric tensor gauge fields. Section eleven contains some concluding remarks.

2 *Yang-Mills Fields*

The dynamics of the vector potential describing spin-1 Yang-Mills quanta A^a_μ is given by the Lagrangian [1]:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$G^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g f^{abc}\; A^b_\mu\; A^c_\nu. \tag{2}$$

It is invariant with respect to the non-Abelian gauge transformations:

$$\delta A^a_\mu = (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b. \tag{3}$$

The invariance of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ can be demonstrated if one derives the transformation property of the field strength $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ induced by the transformation law (3) of the gauge potential A^a_μ. Indeed, using definition of $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ in (2) and the property of the structure constant f^{abc} one can get

$$\delta G^a_{\mu\nu} = g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c$$

and therefore

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu}\; \delta G^a_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu}\; g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c = 0.$$

It is also useful to introduce matrix representation for the gauge fields $A^{ab}_\mu = A^c_\mu (L^c)_{ab} = i f^{acb} A^c_\mu$, where L^a are the generators of the semisimple Lie group G :

$$[L^a, L^b] = i f^{abc} L^c,$$

where the structure constants fulfil the Jacobi identity $f^{aed} f^{bdc} + f^{bed} f^{cda} + f^{adb} f^{ced} = 0$. The unitary transformation matrix is equal to $U(\xi) = exp(ig\xi)$, where $\xi = L^a \xi^a$. In the adjoint representation $(L^b)_{ac} = i f_{abc}$, and the covariant derivative will take the form

$$\nabla^{ab}_\mu = (\partial_\mu - igA_\mu)^{ab}.$$

The gauge transformation can be represented also in a matrix form

$$\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi],$$

as well as the field strength

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu\; A_\nu].$$

Finally the classical field equations are:

$$\nabla^{ab}_\mu G^b_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

3 *Second-Rank Tensor Gauge Fields*

In order to describe rank-two quanta we shall introduce tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu}$ together with the higher-tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$, which is symmetric with respect to the last two indices $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda} = A^a_{\mu\lambda\nu}$. Using these fields we shall define the Lagrangian as

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda} \quad , \tag{4}$$

where I have introduced the corresponding new field strengths of the form

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda} + g f^{abc}(\ A^b_\mu\ A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\ A^c_\nu\ ) \tag{5}$$

and

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda\rho} + g f^{abc}(\ A^b_\mu\ A^c_{\nu\lambda\rho} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\ A^c_{\nu\rho} + A^b_{\mu\rho}\ A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho}\ A^c_\nu\ ). \tag{6}$$

As one can see, the extended field strength tensors (5) and (6) contain not only tensor gauge fields of the corresponding order, but also lower-rank tensor gauge fields. The field strength $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ contains in addition to the tensor field $A^a_{\mu\nu}$ the vector field A^a_μ. The field strength $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho}$ contains in addition to the tensor field $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ the vector field A^a_μ and the second-rank tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu}$. It is obvious from these expressions that without lower-rank tensor gauge fields it would be impossible to construct the above higher-rank field strength tensors. The extended field strength tensors are antisymmetric in their first two indices and are totaly symmetric with respect to the rest of the indices:

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = -G^a_{\nu\mu,\lambda}, \qquad G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} = -G^a_{\nu\mu,\lambda\rho}, \quad G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} = G^a_{\mu\nu,\rho\lambda} \ . \tag{7}$$

One can also represent the field strengths in a matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} &= \nabla_\mu A_{\nu\lambda} - \nabla_\nu A_{\mu\lambda} \quad , \\ G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} &= \nabla_\mu A_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \nabla_\nu A_{\mu\lambda\rho} - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}\ A_{\nu\rho}] - ig[A_{\mu\rho}\ A_{\nu\lambda}]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We have to prove that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ is invariant under extended gauge transformations which I shall define as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A^a_\mu &= (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b, \\ \delta A^a_{\mu\nu} &= (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_\nu + g f^{acb} A^c_{\mu\nu}\xi^b, \\ \delta A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda} &= (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_{\nu\lambda} + g f^{acb}(A^c_{\mu\nu}\xi^b_\lambda + A^c_{\mu\lambda}\xi^b_\nu + A^c_{\mu\nu\lambda}\xi^b). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In addition to the standard gauge parameter ξ^a in this transformation law we have introduced new higher-rank gauge parameters: the vector ξ^a_μ and the totally symmetric second-rank tensor $\xi^a_{\mu\nu}$. This transformation respects the symmetry properties of the third rank gauge field $A_{\mu\nu\lambda} = A_{\mu\lambda\nu}$ [4].

First let us prove that a commutator of two of extended gauge transformations can be expressed as a similar gauge transformation, and therefore extended gauge transformations (9) form a closed algebraic structure. To make the calculation more transparent let us express the transformation law (9) in a matrix form:

---

[4] The extension of the gauge transformations (9) to *totally symmetric* higher-rank tensor gauge fields is presented in the tenth section.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi] \\
\delta_\xi A_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - ig[A_\mu, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi] \\
\delta_\xi A_{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\nu\lambda} - ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi_\lambda] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \xi],
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $A_{\mu\nu} = A^a_{\mu\nu} L^a$, $A_{\mu\nu\lambda} = A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda} L^a$ and $\xi = L^a \xi^a$. The commutator of two gauge transformations acting on a second-rank tensor gauge field is:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta_\eta, \delta_\xi] A_{\mu\nu} &= \delta_\eta\ (-ig[A_\mu, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi]) - \\
&- \delta_\xi\ (-ig[A_\mu, \eta_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \eta]) \\
&= -ig\ \{\ \partial_\mu([\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi]) - ig[A_\mu, ([\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi])] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, [\eta, \xi]]\ \} \\
&= -ig\ \{\ \partial_\mu \zeta_\nu - ig[A_\mu, \zeta_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \zeta]\ \} = -ig\ \delta_\zeta A_{\mu\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

and is again a gauge transformation with gauge parameters ζ^a, ζ^a_μ which are given by the following expressions:

$$\zeta = [\eta, \xi], \qquad \zeta_\nu = [\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi].$$

The commutator of two gauge transformations acting on a rank-3 tensor gauge field is:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta_\eta, \delta_\xi] A_{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \delta_\eta\ (-ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi_\lambda] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \xi]) \\
&- \delta_\xi\ (-ig[A_\mu, \eta_{\nu\lambda}] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \eta_\lambda] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, \eta_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \eta]) \\
&= -ig\{\ \partial_\mu([\eta, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [\eta_\nu, \xi_\lambda] + [\eta_\lambda, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda}, \xi]) \\
&\quad - ig[A_\mu, ([\eta, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [\eta_\nu, \xi_\lambda] + [\eta_\lambda, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda}, \xi])] \\
&\quad - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, ([\eta, \xi_\lambda] + [\eta_\lambda, \xi])] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, ([\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi])] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, [\eta, \xi]]\} \\
&= -ig\ \{\ \partial_\mu \zeta_{\nu\lambda} - ig[A_\mu, \zeta_{\nu\lambda}] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \zeta_\lambda] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, \zeta_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \zeta]\ \} = \delta_\zeta A_{\mu\nu\lambda},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta = [\eta, \xi], \quad \zeta_\nu = [\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi], \quad \zeta_{\nu\lambda} = [\eta, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [\eta_\nu, \xi_\lambda] + [\eta_\lambda, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda}, \xi]. \tag{11}$$

The fact that our extended gauge transformations form a closed algebra is essentially based on a specific set of tensor fields and on the fact that the tensor $A_{\mu\nu}$ does not have any restrictions on its symmetry properties and therefore belongs to a reducible representation of the Poincaré algebra. As it is well known, this phenomena also takes place in the case of Dirac equation, where the wave function belongs to a reducible representation.

The invariance of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ can be proved by realizing that the extended gauge transformations induce the transformation of the new field strengths of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} &= g f^{abc}(\ G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \xi^c + G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c_\lambda\ ), \\
\delta G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} &= g f^{abc}(\ G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} \xi^c + G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \xi^c_\rho + G^b_{\mu\nu,\rho} \xi^c_\lambda + G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c_{\lambda\rho}\ ).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

One can establish this transformation law for the field strengths $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ and $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho}$ by direct calculation using the definition of the field strengths (5), (6), the transformation laws for the tensor gauge fields (9),(10) and the Jaboci identity, which holds for matrices. Now we can prove the invariance of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$. Indeed, its variation is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \mathcal{L}_2 = &- \frac{1}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} g f^{abc} (G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \xi^c + G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c_\lambda) - \frac{1}{4} g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda} \\
&- \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} g f^{abc} (G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda} \xi^c + 2 G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \xi^c_\lambda + G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c_{\lambda\lambda}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The two terms in the above expression are equal to zero because they are symmetric in Lorentz indices and are antisymmetric in group indices, like the terms $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \xi^c$ and $G^a_{\mu\nu} g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c_{\lambda\lambda}$. The other four terms show delicate cancellation, like terms of the form $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c_\lambda$ and $g f^{abc} G^b_{\mu\nu} \xi^c G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}$. This follows from the antisymmetric nature of the group structure constants f^{abc}.

In order to describe the interaction of the Yang-Mills boson and the rank-2 gauge boson system one should add the Yang-Mills Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1$ to $\mathcal{L}_2$. This yields

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + g_2 \mathcal{L}_2 = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} + g_2 \{ -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda} \}. \tag{13}$$

Both terms are invariant with respect to the extended gauge transformations (9). It is important that i) the Lagrangian does not contain higher derivatives of higher-rank gauge fields, as it was suggested in different approaches to the higher-spin field theories, and that ii) all interactions take place through three- and four-particle exchanges iii) the extended gauge transformations do not define the coupling constants g_2. The coupling constant g_2 remains arbitrary because every term in the sum is separately gauge invariant and the extended gauge symmetry alone does not define it.

The immediate conclusion which can be drawn from above properties is that the extended gauge theory will have the same degree of divergence of its Feynman diagrams as the Yang-Mills theory does and most probably will be renormalizable. The other conclusion is that the second-rank tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu}$, which in our theory is an arbitrary nonsymmetric tensor $A^a_{\mu\nu} \neq A^a_{\nu\mu}$, does not directly coincide with the graviton, because it is a charged gauge field and it has different gauge symmetries and interactions. We shall discuss this question also in the tenth section.

Let us now consider the equations which follow from this Lagrangian. The equations of motion that follow for the Yang-Mills fields will be modified by additional terms which we can obtain by variation of the full action (13) with respect to the gauge field A^a_μ:

$$\nabla^{ab}_\mu G^b_{\mu\nu} + g_2 (g f^{acb} A^c_{\mu\lambda} G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} g f^{acb} A^c_{\mu\lambda\lambda} G^b_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{ab}_\mu G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}) = J^a_\nu .$$

The first term represents the classical Yang-Mills operator, whereas the rest of the terms represent the modification of the Yang-Mills equations by the higher-rank gauge fields. One should also derive the new field equations varying the action with respect to the second-rank gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu}$

$$\nabla^{ab}_\mu G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + g f^{acb} A^c_{\mu\lambda} G^b_{\mu\nu} = J^a_{\nu\lambda} .$$

Remarkably enough, the variation of the action with respect to the third-rank gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ will give the Yang-Mills equations

$$\nabla^{ab}_\mu G^b_{\mu\nu} = J^a_{\nu\lambda\lambda} .$$

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_1 + g_2 \mathcal{L}_2$ contains the third-rank gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\lambda\lambda}$, but without corresponding kinetic terms, therefore they play mostly the role of the auxiliary fields. In order to make the fields $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ dynamical, we shall proceed introducing the corresponding kinetic term, which should be quadratic in the field derivatives. With that aim we shall further generalize the gauge transformations for the higher-rank gauge fields in the next section.

4 *Third-Rank Tensor Gauge Fields*

In order to describe dynamics of the third-rank gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ we shall introduce additional tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ and $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$. These tensors are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\nu\lambda\rho$ and $\nu\lambda\rho\sigma$ respectively, but have no symmetries with respect to the permutations of the first index μ. Using these gauge fields we shall define the action as:

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{1}{4}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{8}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda}G^a_{\mu\nu,\rho\rho} - \frac{1}{2}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\rho} - \frac{1}{8}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda\rho\rho}\ , \tag{14}$$

where the new field strengths for the tensor gauge fields are

$$\begin{aligned} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma} + g f^{abc}\{\ & A^b_\mu\ A^c_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} + A^b_{\mu\lambda}\ A^c_{\nu\rho\sigma} + A^b_{\mu\rho}\ A^c_{\nu\lambda\sigma} + A^b_{\mu\sigma}\ A^c_{\nu\lambda\rho} + \\ & + A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho}\ A^c_{\nu\sigma} + A^b_{\mu\lambda\sigma}\ A^c_{\nu\rho} + A^b_{\mu\rho\sigma}\ A^c_{\nu\lambda} + A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma}\ A^c_\nu\ \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma\delta} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\delta} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma\delta} + g f^{abc}\{\ & A^b_\mu\ A^c_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma\delta} + \sum_{\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho,\sigma,\delta} A^b_{\mu\lambda}\ A^c_{\nu\rho\sigma\delta} + \\ & + \sum_{\lambda,\rho\leftrightarrow\sigma,\delta} A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho}\ A^c_{\nu\sigma\delta} + \sum_{\lambda,\rho,\sigma\leftrightarrow\delta} A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma}\ A^c_{\nu\delta} + A^b_{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma\delta}\ A^c_\nu\ \}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the terms in parenthesis have been symmetrized over $\lambda\rho\sigma$ and $\lambda\rho\sigma\delta$ respectively. The extended field strength tensors are antisymmetric in their first two indices μ, ν and are totally symmetric with respect to the rest of the indices:

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma....} = -G^a_{\nu\mu,\lambda\rho\sigma....}, \quad G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma....} = G^a_{\mu\nu,\rho\lambda\sigma....} = ...$$

We shall prove that the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_3$ is invariant under the extended gauge transformation which we shall define for the fourth-rank gauge field as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} = \partial_\mu \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho} - ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho}] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi_{\lambda\rho}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, \xi_{\nu\rho}] - ig[A_{\mu\rho}, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] - \\ -ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \xi_\rho] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\rho}, \xi_\lambda] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda\rho}, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \xi] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and for the fifth-rank tensor gauge field as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} - ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}] - ig \sum_{\nu\leftrightarrow\lambda\rho\sigma} [A_{\mu\nu}, \xi_{\lambda\rho\sigma}] - \\ & -ig \sum_{\nu\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho\sigma} [A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \xi_{\rho\sigma}] - ig \sum_{\nu\lambda\rho\leftrightarrow\sigma} [A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \xi_\sigma] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \xi], \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the gauge parameters $\xi_{\nu\lambda\rho}$ and $\xi_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$ are totally symmetric rank-3 and rank-4 tensors. These gauge transformations preserve the symmetries of the gauge fields, because the r.h.s are symmetric with respect to the indices $\nu\lambda\rho$ and $\nu\lambda\rho\sigma$. One should be convinced that this transformation again forms a closed algebra. The commutator of two gauge transformations acting on a rank-4 gauge field is:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_\eta, \delta_\xi] A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} &= \delta_\eta\ (-ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho}] - ig \sum_{\nu\leftrightarrow\lambda\rho} [A_{\mu\nu}, \xi_{\lambda\rho}] - ig \sum_{\nu\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho} [A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \xi_\rho] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \xi]) \\ &- \delta_\xi\ (-ig[A_\mu, \eta_{\nu\lambda\rho}] - ig \sum_{\nu\leftrightarrow\lambda\rho} [A_{\mu\nu}, \eta_{\lambda\rho}] - ig \sum_{\nu\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho} [A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \eta_\rho] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \eta]) \\ = -ig\ \{\ \partial_\mu \zeta_{\nu\lambda\rho} &- ig[A_\mu, \zeta_{\nu\lambda\rho}] - ig \sum_{\nu\leftrightarrow\lambda\rho} [A_{\mu\nu}, \zeta_{\lambda\rho}] - ig \sum_{\nu\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho} [A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \zeta_\rho] - ig[A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}, \zeta]\ \} \end{aligned}$$

and is equivalent to the extended gauge transformation with the gauge parameters of the form

$$\begin{gathered}\zeta = [\eta, \xi], \quad \zeta_{\nu} = [\eta, \xi_{\nu}] + [\eta_{\nu}, \xi], \quad \zeta_{\nu\lambda} = [\eta, \xi_{\nu\lambda}] + [\eta_{\nu}, \xi_{\lambda}] + [\eta_{\lambda}, \xi_{\nu}] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda}, \xi], \\ \zeta_{\nu\lambda\rho} = [\eta, \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho}] + \sum_{\nu\leftrightarrow\lambda\rho} [\eta_{\nu}, \xi_{\lambda\rho}] + \sum_{\nu\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho} [\eta_{\nu\lambda}, \xi_{\rho}] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda\rho}, \xi] \ .\end{gathered} \tag{18}$$

The commutator of two gauge transformations acting on rank-5 gauge field is again equivalent to a gauge transformation with the gauge parameters:

$$\zeta_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} = [\eta, \xi_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}] + \sum_{\nu\leftrightarrow\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho\leftrightarrow\sigma} \left([\eta_{\nu}, \xi_{\lambda\rho\sigma}] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda}, \xi_{\rho\sigma}] + [\eta_{\nu\lambda\rho}, \xi_{\sigma}] \right) + [\eta_{\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}, \xi]. \tag{19}$$

One can express all commutators of extended gauge transformations acting on a tensor gauge fields in the form

$$[\delta_{\eta}, \delta_{\xi}] A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} = -ig \ \delta_{\zeta} A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma} \ , \tag{20}$$

where ζ is given by the expressions (18) and (19).

The extended gauge transformation of the higher rank tensor gauge fields (16) and (17) induces the gauge transformation of the fields strengths of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G^{a}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma} = g f^{abc} (\ & G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma} \ \xi^{c} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} \ \xi^{c}_{\sigma} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\sigma} \ \xi^{c}_{\rho} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} \ \xi^{c}_{\lambda} + \\ & + G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \ \xi^{c}_{\rho\sigma} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\rho} \ \xi^{c}_{\lambda\sigma} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\sigma} \ \xi^{c}_{\lambda\rho} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu} \ \xi^{c}_{\lambda\rho\sigma} \ )\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G^{a}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma\delta} = g f^{abc} (\ & G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma\delta} \ \xi^{c} + \sum_{\lambda\rho,\sigma\leftrightarrow\delta} G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho\sigma} \ \xi^{c}_{\delta} + \\ & + \sum_{\lambda\rho\leftrightarrow\sigma,\delta} G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} \ \xi^{c}_{\sigma\delta} + \sum_{\lambda\leftrightarrow\rho,\sigma,\delta} G^{b}_{\mu\nu,\lambda} \ \xi^{c}_{\rho\sigma\delta} + G^{b}_{\mu\nu} \ \xi^{c}_{\lambda\rho\sigma\delta}).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using the above homogeneous transformations for the field strengths it is easy to demonstrate the invariance of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_3$ with respect to the extended gauge transformations in full analogy with the calculations of the previous section.

In the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_3$ the third-rank tensor gauge field is dynamical and the fields A^{a}_{μ}, $A^{a}_{\mu\nu}$, $A^{a}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ and $A^{a}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\omega}$ are not. To make the lower-rank gauge fields dynamical one should add the corresponding low-order Lagrangians, thus

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + g_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + g_3 \mathcal{L}_3, \tag{23}$$

where g_2 and g_3 are new coupling constants. The tensor gauge fields $A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$ and $A_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho\sigma}$ remain auxiliary.

The last two sections provide a clear hint how to proceed with the extension of the above construction. Therefore our intention in the next section will be to give a general formulation of the extended gauge transformation for the arbitrary tensor gauge fields, to define the corresponding field strengths and the invariant Lagrangian. This will complete the formulation of the extended gauge principle for arbitrary tensor gauge fields.

5 *Non-Abelian Tensor Gauge Fields*

In a general case we shall consider tensor gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ of the rank $s+1$, which are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_s$, but a priory have no symmetries with respect to the first index μ. The corresponding field strength we shall define by the following expression:

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s} = \partial_\mu A^a_{\nu\lambda_1...\lambda_s} - \partial_\nu A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s} + g f^{abc} \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{P's} A^b_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_i} \; A^c_{\nu\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}, \tag{24}$$

where the sum $\sum_{P's}$ runs over all permutations of two sets of indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s$ which correspond to nonequal terms. All permutations of indices within two sets $\lambda_1...\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s$ correspond to equal terms, because gauge fields are totally symmetric with respect to $\lambda_1...\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s$. Therefore there are

$$\frac{s!}{i!(s-i)!}$$

nonequal terms in the sum $\sum_{P's}$. Thus in the sum $\sum_{P's}$ there is one term of the form $A_\mu A_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}$, there are s terms of the form $A_{\mu\lambda_1} A_{\nu\lambda_2...\lambda_s}$ and $s(s-1)/2$ terms of the form $A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2} \; A_{\nu\lambda_3...\lambda_s}$ and so on.

In the above definition of the extended gauge field strength $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$, together with the classical Yang-Mills gauge boson A^a_μ, there participate the set of higher-rank gauge fields $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1}$, $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$, ... , $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ up to the rank $s+1$. By construction the field strength (24) is antisymmetric with respect to its first two indices

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s} \;\; = \;\; - \;\; G^a_{\nu\mu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s} \tag{25}$$

and is totally symmetric with respect to the rest of the indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_s$

$$G^a_{\mu\nu,..\lambda_i...\lambda_j..} = G^a_{\mu\nu,..\lambda_j...\lambda_i..} \qquad , \tag{26}$$

where $1 \leq i < j \leq s$. The extended gauge transformation of the fields we shall define by the formula

$$\delta A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s} = (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu) \xi^b_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s} + g f^{acb} \; \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{P's} A^c_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_i} \xi^b_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}, \tag{27}$$

where the infinitesimal gauge parameters $\xi^b_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ are totally symmetric rank-s tensors. The summation $\sum_{P's}$ is again over all permutations of two sets of indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s$ which correspond to nonequal terms. It is obvious that this transformation preserves the symmetry properties of the tensor gauge field $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$. Indeed, the first term in the r.h.s. is a covariant derivative of the totally symmetric rank-s tensor $\nabla^{ab}_\mu \xi^b_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}$ and every term $\sum_{P's} A^c_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_i} \xi^b_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}$ in the second sum is totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s$ by construction. The matrix form of the transformation has the form:

$$\delta_\xi A_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s} \;\; = \;\; \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s} - ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}] - ig \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{P's} [A_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_i}, \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}]. \tag{28}$$

As we demonstrated in the cases of lower-rank gauge fields, the extended gauge transformations form a closed algebra. This remains true in the general case as well. Indeed, the commutator of two extended infinitesimal gauge transformations can be expressed in the form

$$[\ \delta_\eta\ ,\ \delta_\xi]\ A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}\ =\ -ig\ \delta_\zeta\ A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_s}\ , \tag{29}$$

where the gauge parameters $\{\zeta\}$ are given by the symmetric commutators of two gauge parameters of the form (18),(19):

$$\zeta_{\lambda_1\lambda_2...\lambda_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{i}\sum_{P's}[\ \eta_{\lambda_1...\lambda_j}, \xi_{\lambda_{j+1}...\lambda_i}\ ] \qquad\qquad ,i = 0,1,...s \tag{30}$$

and the sum $\sum_{P's}$ runs over all nonequal permutations of two sets of indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_j$ and $\lambda_{j+1}...\lambda_i$ (see Appendix).

The inhomogeneous extended gauge transformation (27) induces the homogeneous gauge transformation of the corresponding field strength (24) of the form

$$\delta G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s} = gf^{abc}\sum_{i=0}^{s}\ \sum_{P's}\ G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_i}\xi^c_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}. \tag{31}$$

The symmetry properties (25) and (26) of the field strength $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ remain invariant in the course of this transformation.

The polygauge invariant Lagrangian now can be formulated in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{s+1} &= -\frac{1}{4}\ G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s}\ G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_s} + ...\\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{2s}\ a^s_i\ G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_1...\lambda_i}\ G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_{2s}}\sum_{p's}\eta^{\lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}}.......\eta^{\lambda_{i_{2s-1}}\lambda_{i_{2s}}}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where the sum $\sum_p$ runs over all permutations of indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_{2s}$ which correspond to nonequal terms. For the low values of $s = 0,1,2,...$ the numerical coefficients

$$a^s_i = \frac{s!}{i!(2s-i)!}$$

are: $a^0_0 = 1$; $a^1_1 = 1, a^1_0 = a^1_2 = 1/2$; $a^2_2 = 1/2, a^2_1 = a^2_3 = 1/3, a^2_0 = a^2_4 = 1/12$; and so on. In order to describe fixed rank-$(s+1)$ gauge field one should have at disposal all gauge fields up to the rank $2s+1$. In order to make all tensor gauge fields dynamical one should add the corresponding kinetic terms. Thus the invariant Lagrangian describing dynamical tensor gauge bosons of all ranks has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\ g_s\mathcal{L}_s\ , \tag{33}$$

where g_s $(s = 1,2,...)$ are new coupling constants.

As in the case of the Yang-Mills theory [1], the Feynman diagrams here have three elementary types of vertices. The "primitive divergences" are also in a finite number, because the superficial degree of divergence d for Feynman diagrams with N_B bosonic and N_F fermionic external lines is $d = -\frac{D-2}{2}N_B - \frac{D-1}{2}N_F + D$. The index of divergence $r = \frac{D-2}{2}b + \frac{D-1}{2}f + \delta - D$ of the interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{int} \sim g(\partial)^\delta(\phi)^b(\psi)^f$ is equal to zero for all vertices. Here D is dimension of the space-time, b - number of boson fields, f - number of fermion fields and δ is the number of space-time derivatives in $\mathcal{L}_{int}$. The conclusion which can be drawn from this property is that the extended gauge theory has the same degree of divergence of its Feynman diagrams as the Yang-Mills theory does and most probably will be renormalizable in its general form.

6 *Interaction of Fermions*

In this section we shall introduce gauge invariant interaction of the tensor gauge fields with higher-rank fermion fields representing matter. We shall use the Rarita-Schwinger spin-tensor fields $\psi^{\alpha}_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_s}$, where α is a spinor index and $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_s$ are vector indices. Tensors are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_s$. The transformation of the fermions under the extended isotopic group we shall define by the formulas [30]

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi \psi &= -i\sigma^a \xi^a \psi,\\
\delta_\xi \psi_\lambda &= -i\sigma^a (\xi^a\ \psi_\lambda + \xi^a_\lambda\ \psi),\\
\delta_\xi \psi_{\lambda\rho} &= -i\sigma^a (\xi^a\ \psi_{\lambda\rho} + \xi^a_\lambda\ \psi_\rho + \xi^a_\rho\ \psi_\lambda + \xi_{\lambda\rho}\ \psi),\\
.... &\ .\ ....,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where σ^a are the matrices of the representation σ of the semisimple Lie group G, according to which all $\psi's$ are transforming. The general form of the above transformation is:

$$\delta_\xi \psi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x) \ = \ -i \sum_{i=0}^{s} \sum_{P's} \xi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_i}\ \psi_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}(x), \qquad s = 0, 1, 2, ..., \tag{35}$$

where we are using the same notations as in the previous section. The transformation properties of the covariant derivatives are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi \nabla_\mu \psi &= -i\xi_\mu \nabla \psi,\\
\delta_\xi \nabla_\mu \psi_\lambda &= -i\xi \nabla_\mu \psi_\lambda - i\xi_\lambda \nabla_\mu \psi - i\nabla_\mu \xi_\lambda\ \psi,\\
\delta_\xi \nabla_\mu \psi_{\lambda\rho} &= -i\xi \nabla_\mu \psi_{\lambda\rho} - i\xi_\lambda\ \nabla_\mu \psi_\rho - i\xi_\rho \nabla_\mu \psi_\lambda - i\xi_{\lambda\rho} \nabla_\mu\ \psi\\
&\quad -i\nabla_\mu \xi_\lambda\ \psi_\rho - i\nabla_\mu \xi_\rho\ \psi_\lambda - i\nabla_\mu \xi_{\lambda\rho}\ \psi, ...\\
.... &\ .\ ....,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where we are using the matrix notation for the gauge fields $A_\mu = \sigma^a A^a_\mu$ and gauge parameters $\xi = \sigma^a \xi^a$. In this section we shall redefine the gauge parameters $\xi \to -\frac{1}{g}\ \xi$, thus $U(\xi) \to exp(-i\sigma^a \xi^a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi A_\mu &= -\frac{1}{g}(\partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi]),\\
\delta_\xi A_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{g}(\partial_\mu \xi_\nu - ig[A_\mu, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi])
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

and so on.

The invariant Lagrangian for spin-1/2 matter is

$$\mathcal{L}_{1/2} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu (i\partial_\mu \ + \ g\sigma^a A^a_\mu)\psi = \bar{\psi}(i\ \not\partial + g\ \not A)\psi. \tag{38}$$

To describe the dynamics of the spin-vector field ψ_μ we shall introduce additional rank-2 spin-tensor $\psi_{\mu\nu}$. The invariant Lagrangian has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{3/2} \ &= \ \bar{\psi}_\lambda \gamma_\mu (i\partial_\mu + gA_\mu)\psi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu (i\partial_\mu + gA_\mu)\psi_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda\lambda}\gamma_\mu (i\partial_\mu + gA_\mu)\psi\\
&+ \ g\bar{\psi}_\lambda \gamma_\mu A_{\mu\lambda}\psi + g\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu A_{\mu\lambda}\psi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}g\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu A_{\mu\lambda\lambda}\psi\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

We have to prove that the Lagrangian is invariant under simultaneous extended gauge transformations of the fermions and tensor gauge fields. It is easier to use compact notations in order to simplify algebraic computations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{3/2} &= \bar{\psi}_\lambda(i\not{\partial} + g\not{A})\psi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} + g\not{A})\psi_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda\lambda}(i\not{\partial} + g\not{A})\psi \\ &+ g\bar{\psi}_\lambda \not{A}_\lambda \psi + g\bar{\psi}\not{A}_\lambda\psi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\, g\bar{\psi}\not{A}_{\lambda\lambda}\psi, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\not{\partial} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$, $\not{A} = \gamma^\mu A_\mu$, $\not{A}_\lambda = \gamma^\mu A_{\mu\lambda}$ and $\not{A}_{\lambda\lambda} = \gamma^\mu A_{\mu\lambda\lambda}$.

The variation of the first three terms of the Lagrangian results in the expression

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{3/2}(I+II+III) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial}\xi_{\lambda\lambda} - ig[\not{A},\xi_{\lambda\lambda}])\psi + \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial}\xi_\lambda - ig[\not{A},\xi_\lambda])\psi_\lambda + \bar{\psi}_\lambda(\not{\partial}\xi_\lambda - ig[\not{A},\xi_\lambda])\psi$$

and of the forth and fifth terms to

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{3/2}(IV+V) = -\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial}\xi_\lambda - ig[\not{A},\xi_\lambda])\psi_\lambda - \bar{\psi}_\lambda(\not{\partial}\xi_\lambda - ig[\not{A},\xi_\lambda])\psi - ig\bar{\psi}[\not{A}_\lambda,\xi_\lambda])\psi\ ,$$

finally the variation of the last term gives

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{3/2}(VI) = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial}\xi_{\lambda\lambda} - ig[\not{A},\xi_{\lambda\lambda}] - 2ig[\not{A}_\lambda,\xi_\lambda])\psi,$$

therefore the total variation is equal to zero, $\delta\mathcal{L}_{3/2} = 0$.

The currents are given by the variation of the action over the tensor gauge fields:

$$\begin{aligned} J^a_\mu &= g\{\bar{\psi}\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi + \bar{\psi}_\lambda\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda\lambda}\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi_{\lambda\lambda}\}, \\ J^a_{\mu\nu} &= g\{\bar{\psi}\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi_\nu + \bar{\psi}_\nu\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi\}, \\ J^a_{\mu\lambda\rho} &= \frac{1}{2}g\bar{\psi}\sigma^a\gamma_\mu\psi\ \eta_{\lambda\rho}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

As one can see from the Lagrangian (39) the interaction of tensor fermions with tensor gauge bosons is going through the cubic vertex which includes two fermions and a tensor gauge boson, very similar to the vertices in QED and the Yang-Mills theory.

7 *Interaction of Bosonic Matter and Symmetry Breaking*

We are in a position now to introduce the gauge invariant interaction of the tensor gauge bosons with the bosonic matter fields $\phi_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s}(x)$. This set of tensor fields $\{\phi\}$ contains the scalar field ϕ as one of its family members. The extended isotopic transformation of the bosonic matter fields $\phi_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s}(x)$ we shall define by the formulas [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi\phi &= -i\tau^a\xi^a\phi, \\ \delta_\xi\phi_\lambda &= -i\tau^a(\xi^a\ \phi_\lambda + \xi^a_\lambda\ \phi), \\ \delta_\xi\phi_{\lambda\rho} &= -i\tau^a(\xi^a\ \phi_{\lambda\rho} + \xi^a_\lambda\ \phi_\rho + \xi^a_\rho\ \phi_\lambda + \xi^a_{\lambda\rho}\ \phi), \\ \ldots\ldots\ldots &\ .\ \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where τ^a are the matrices of the representation τ of the gauge group G, according to which the whole family of $\phi's$ transforms. There is an essential difference in the transformation properties of the tensor gauge fields $A_{\mu\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$ versus $\phi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}$. The transformation law for the bosonic matter fields (42) is homogeneous, whereas the transformation of the tensor gauge fields (9), (27) is inhomogeneous. The general form of the above transformation is:

$$\delta_\xi \phi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_s}(x) \;=\; -i\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's} \xi_{\lambda_1...\lambda_i}\ \phi_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_s}(x), \qquad s=0,1,2,... \tag{43}$$

and the invariant quadratic form is:

$$I=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\Lambda_{s+1}I_s, \qquad I_s=\sum_{i=0}^{2s}\ a_i^s\ \phi^+_{\lambda_1...\lambda_i}\ \phi_{\lambda_{i+1}...\lambda_{2s}}\sum_{p's}\eta^{\lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}}.......\eta^{\lambda_{i_{2s-1}}\lambda_{i_{2s}}}\ , \tag{44}$$

where the sum $\sum_p$ runs over all permutations of indices $\lambda_1...\lambda_{2s}$ which correspond to nonequal terms and the numerical coefficient $a_i^s = s!/i!(2s-i)!$. The Λ_s (s=1,2,...) are coupling constants and $\Lambda_1 = 1$. The invariant Lagrangian for scalar field is

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \nabla^{ij}_\mu \phi^{+j}\ \nabla^{ik}_\mu \phi^k\ - U(\phi),$$

where $\nabla^{ij}_\mu = \delta^{ij}\partial_\mu - ig\tau^{ij}_a A^a_\mu$. The covariant derivatives for the low-rank tensors transform as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi \nabla_\mu\phi &= -i\xi\nabla_\mu\phi, \\
\delta_\xi \nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda &= -i\xi\nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda - i\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi - i\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\ \phi, \\
\delta_\xi \nabla_\mu\phi_{\lambda\rho} &= -i(\xi\nabla_\mu\phi_{\lambda\rho} + \xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi_\rho + \xi_\rho\nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda + \xi_{\lambda\rho}\nabla_\mu\phi) \\
&\quad -i(\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi_\rho + \nabla_\mu\xi_\rho\phi_\lambda + \nabla_\mu\xi_{\lambda\rho}\phi).
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

The invariant Lagrangian will take the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_\phi \;=\;& \nabla_\mu\phi^+\ \nabla_\mu\phi + b_2\{\nabla_\mu\phi^+_\lambda\ \nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi^+_{\lambda\lambda}\ \nabla_\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi^+\ \nabla_\mu\phi_{\lambda\lambda} + \\
-\;& ig\nabla_\mu\phi^+\ A_{\mu\lambda}\phi_\lambda + ig\phi^+_\lambda A_{\mu\lambda}\ \nabla_\mu\phi - ig\nabla_\mu\phi^+_\lambda\ A_{\mu\lambda}\phi + ig\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda}\ \nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda - \\
+\;& g^2\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda}A_{\mu\lambda}\phi - \frac{1}{2}ig\nabla_\mu\phi^+\ A_{\mu\lambda\lambda}\phi + \frac{1}{2}ig\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda\lambda}\ \nabla_\mu\phi\}\ -\ U(\phi),
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where b_2 is the new coupling constant. The variation of the Lagrangian has the following terms:

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi(II) = i\nabla_\mu\phi^+\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda - i\nabla_\mu\phi^+_\lambda\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi + i\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda - i\nabla_\mu\phi^+_\lambda\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi(III+IV) \;=\;& i\nabla_\mu\phi^+_\lambda\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi - i\nabla_\mu\phi^+\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda + i\phi^+_\lambda\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi - i\nabla_\mu\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi_\lambda \\
+\;& \frac{i}{2}\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_{\lambda\lambda}\nabla_\mu\phi - \frac{i}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_{\lambda\lambda}\phi,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi(V+VI) = i\nabla_\mu\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi_\lambda - i\phi^+_\lambda\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi - g\nabla_\mu\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda}\xi_\lambda\phi - g\phi^+\xi_\lambda A_{\mu\lambda}\nabla_\mu\phi,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi(VII+VIII) \;=\;& g\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda}\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi + g\nabla_\mu\phi^+\xi_\lambda A_{\mu\lambda}\phi + i\nabla_\mu\phi^+_\lambda\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi - i\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\nabla_\mu\phi_\lambda \\
+\;& g\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda A_{\mu\lambda}\phi + g\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda}\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

together with the ninth term

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi(IX) = -g\phi^+\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda A_{\mu\lambda}\phi - g\phi^+A_{\mu\lambda}\nabla_\mu\xi_\lambda\phi,$$

and finally the variation of the last two terms gives

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi(X+XI) = \frac{i}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi^+(\nabla_\mu\xi_{\lambda\lambda} - 2ig[A_{\mu\lambda}\xi_\lambda])\phi - \frac{i}{2}\phi^+(\nabla_\mu\xi_{\lambda\lambda} - 2ig[A_{\mu\lambda}\xi_\lambda])\nabla_\mu\phi,$$

therefore the total variation is equal to zero, $\delta\mathcal{L}_\phi = 0$.

We have to introduce the invariant self-interaction Lagrangian for the extended scalar sector. The quadratic form which is invariant with respect to the extended homogeneous transformations (42) has the form (44)

$$I = \phi^\dagger\phi + \Lambda_2(\phi^\dagger_\lambda\phi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger\phi_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger_{\lambda\lambda}\phi). \quad (48)$$

Its invariance can be confirmed by direct calculation similar to the one we performed above. Using this quadratic form we can construct the invariant potential as

$$U(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2[\phi^\dagger\phi + \Lambda_2(\phi^\dagger_\lambda\phi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger\phi_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\phi^\dagger_{\lambda\lambda}\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\eta^2]^2 \quad (49)$$

so that the vacuum expectation value of the scalar field will be as in the standard model:

$$\phi_{vac} = \eta/\sqrt{2}.$$

As one can see, the vacuum expectation value of the non-gauge bosons is equal to zero and does not break the Poincaré invariance. The Higgs boson mass therefore remains the same as in the standard model:

$$m_H = \lambda\eta.$$

The new non-gauge vector boson ϕ_λ, which is predicted by our model, will also acquire mass through the interaction term

$$\frac{1}{2}\ \lambda^2\ 2\ \Lambda_2\ \phi^\dagger\phi\ \phi^\dagger_\lambda\phi_\lambda \quad \rightarrow \quad \lambda^2\ \Lambda_2\ <\phi^\dagger><\phi>\phi^\dagger_\lambda\phi_\lambda = \ \Lambda_2\ \frac{\lambda^2\eta^2}{2}\phi^\dagger_\lambda\phi_\lambda \quad (50)$$

and is equal to the mass of the standard Higgs scalar:

$$m_\phi = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_2}{2b_2}}\lambda\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_2}{2b_2}}m_H\ . \quad (51)$$

From the Lagrangian (46) (the first term in the third line) we can also read off the induced mass of the tensor gauge bosons:

$$M_{ab} = (\frac{b_2}{g_2})\ 2g^2 <\phi^\dagger\tau_a><\tau_b\phi>, \quad (52)$$

when the scalar field ϕ gets non-zero vacuum expectation value. Thus the masses of the tensor gauge bosons are

$$m_T^2 = (\frac{b_2}{g_2})m_V^2. \quad (53)$$

We conclude that the proposed extension of the non-Abelian gauge theory, which interacts with the tensor matter, predicts at the tree level degeneracy of the mass spectrum of the new tensor gauge bosons.

It is not completely clear to the author, whether the number of gauge parameters $\xi, \xi_\lambda, ...$ is sufficient to exclude simultaneously not only negative norm states of the tensor gauge bosons, but also negative norm states of the bosonic matter fields of non-gauge nature $\phi_\lambda, \phi_{\lambda\rho}, ...$ This question requires detailed analysis and we shall leave this question for the future studies.

8 *Tensor Extension of Electroweak Theory*

Let us consider the possible extension of the standard model of electroweak interactions which follows from the above generalization. In the first model which we shall consider only the $SU(2)_L$ group will be extended to higher spins, but not the $U(1)_Y$ group. The $W^\pm, Z$ gauge bosons will receive their higher-spin descendence

$$(W^\pm, Z)_\mu, \quad (\tilde{W}^\pm, \tilde{Z})_{\mu\lambda}, ... \tag{54}$$

and the doublet of complex Higgs scalars will appear together with their higher-spin partners:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \phi^+ \\ \phi^o \end{array} \right) , \qquad \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi^+ \\ \phi^o \end{array} \right)_\lambda , \qquad \left(\begin{array}{c} \phi^+ \\ \phi^o \end{array} \right)_{\lambda\rho} ,...... \qquad\qquad Y = +1.$$

The Lagrangian which describes the interaction of the tensor gauge bosons with scalar fields and tensor bosons is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & - \frac{1}{4} G^i_{\mu\nu} G^i_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - (\partial_\mu + \frac{ig^{'}}{2} B_\mu + \frac{ig}{2} \tau^i A^i_\mu) \phi^\dagger \; (\partial_\mu - \frac{ig^{'}}{2} B_\mu - \frac{ig}{2} \tau^i A^i_\mu) \phi + \\ & + g_2 \{ -\frac{1}{4} G^i_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G^i_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} G^i_{\mu\nu} G^i_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda} \} - b_2 \{ \frac{g^2}{4} \phi^\dagger \tau^i A^i_{\mu\lambda} \tau^j A^j_{\mu\lambda} \phi \\ & + \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger_\lambda \; \nabla_\mu \phi_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger_{\lambda\lambda} \; \nabla_\mu \phi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger \; \nabla_\mu \phi_{\lambda\lambda} \\ & - ig \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger \; A_{\mu\lambda} \phi_\lambda + ig \phi^\dagger_\lambda A_{\mu\lambda} \; \nabla_\mu \phi - ig \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger_\lambda \; A_{\mu\lambda} \phi + ig \phi^\dagger A_{\mu\lambda} \; \nabla_\mu \phi_\lambda - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} ig \nabla_\mu \phi^\dagger \; A_{\mu\lambda\lambda} \phi + \frac{1}{2} ig \phi^\dagger A_{\mu\lambda\lambda} \; \nabla_\mu \phi \; \} - U(\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{ig^{'}}{2} Y B_\mu - ig T^i A^i_\mu,$$

Y is hypercharge, Q is charge, $Q = T_3 + Y/2$, and for isospinor fields $T^i = \tau^i/2$. The three terms in the first line represent the standard electroweak model and the rest of the terms - its higher-spin generalization. Therefore all parameters of the standard model are incorporated in the extension. The third term in the second line will generate the masses of the tensor $\tilde{W}^\pm, \tilde{Z}$ gauge bosons:

$$\frac{1}{8} (\frac{b_2}{g_2}) g^2 \eta^2 [(A^3_{\mu\lambda})^2 + 2 A^+_{\mu\lambda} A^-_{\mu\lambda}], \tag{56}$$

when the scalar fields acquire the vacuum expectation value η:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta + \chi(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}_{\mu\lambda} = A^3_{\mu\lambda}, \quad \tilde{W}^{\pm}_{\mu\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A^1_{\mu\lambda} \pm iA^2_{\mu\lambda}),$$

Thus all intermediate spin-2 bosons will acquire the same mass

$$m^2_{\tilde{W},\tilde{Z}} = (\frac{b_2}{g_2})m^2_W \quad . \tag{57}$$

The rest of the terms describe the interaction between "old" and new particles. One should also introduce the Yukawa self-interaction for the bosons ϕ_λ in order to make them massive.

Finally let us consider the fermion sector of the extended electroweak model. One should note that the interaction of tensor gauge bosons with fermions is not as usual as one could expect. Indeed, let us now analyze the interaction with new spinor-tensor leptons

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma_5)\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma_5)\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_\lambda, \quad L_{\lambda\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma_5)\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_{\lambda\rho} .. \quad Y = -1.$$

All these left-handed states have hypercharge $Y = -1$ and the only right-handed state

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)e, \qquad\qquad Y = -2$$

has the hypercharge $Y = -2$. The corresponding Lagrangian will take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F &= \bar{L} \not{\nabla} L + \bar{R} \not{\nabla} R + \\ &+ f_1 \{\bar{L}_\lambda \not{\nabla} L_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{L} \not{\nabla} L_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{L}_{\lambda\lambda} \not{\nabla} L + \\ &+ g\bar{L}_\lambda \not{A}_\lambda L + g\bar{L} \not{A}_\lambda L_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} g\bar{L} \not{A}_{\lambda\lambda} L\} , \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where the first two terms describe the standard electroweak interaction of vector gauge bosons with standard spin-1/2 leptons, the next three terms describe the interaction of the vector gauge bosons with new leptons of the spin 3/2 and finally the last three terms describe the interaction of the new tensor gauge bosons $\tilde{W}^{\pm}, \tilde{Z}$ with standard spin-1/2 and spin-3/2 leptons.

The new interaction vertices generate decay of the standard vector gauge bosons through the channels

$$\gamma, Z \rightarrow e_{3/2} + \bar{e}_{3/2}, \quad \gamma, Z \rightarrow \nu_{3/2} + \bar{\nu}_{3/2}, \quad W \rightarrow \nu_{3/2} + e_{3/2}, \quad W \rightarrow \ \nu_{3/2} + e_{3/2} \ ,$$

where a pair of new leptons is created. The observability of these channels depends on the masses of the new leptons. This information is encoded into the Yukawa couplings, as it takes place for the standard leptons of the spin 1/2. We can only say that they are large enough not to be seen at low energies, but are predicted to be visible at higher-energy experiments.

The decay reactions of the new tensor gauge bosons $\tilde{W}^{\pm}, \tilde{Z}$ can take place through the channels

$$\tilde{Z} \to e_{3/2} + \bar{e}_{1/2}, \quad \tilde{Z} \to \nu_{3/2} + \bar{\nu}_{1/2}, \quad \tilde{W} \to \ \nu_{1/2} + e_{3/2}, \quad \tilde{W} \to \ \nu_{3/2} + e_{1/2}. \tag{59}$$

The main feature of these processes is that they create a pair which consists of a standard lepton $e_{1/2}$ and of a new lepton $e_{3/2}$ of the spin 3/2. Because in all these reactions there always participates a new lepton, they may take place also at large enough energies, but it is impossible to predict the threshold energy because we do not know the corresponding Yukawa couplings. The situation with Yukawa couplings is the same as it is in the standard model. There is no decay channels of the new tensor bosons only into the standard leptons, as one can see from the Lagrangian. Therefore it is also impossible to create tensor gauge bosons directly in $e^+ + e^-$ annihilation, but they can appear in the decay of the Z

$$e^+ + e^- \to Z \to \tilde{W}^+ + \tilde{W}^- \tag{60}$$

and will afterwards decay through the channels discussed above (59) $\tilde{W} \to \ \nu + \tilde{e}$ or $\tilde{W} \to \ \tilde{\nu} + e$. *It seems that reaction (60), predicted by the generalized theory, is the most appropriate candidate which could be tested in the experiment.* The details will be given in the forthcoming publication.

We did not consider the tensor extension of the $U(1)_Y$ in the first place, because in that case we shall have the massless spin-2 descendent of the photon, the existence of which most probably will be ruled out by experiment. But, in principle, the models of this type can be considered and it is worth to study them. The right-handed sector should be enlarged in the following way:

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)e, \quad R_\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)e_\lambda, \quad R_{\lambda\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5)e_{\lambda\rho}, \ \ ..., \qquad\qquad Y = -2,$$

and the Lagrangian will take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F \ &= \ \bar{L} \not\nabla L + \bar{R} \not\nabla R \qquad\qquad (61) \\ &+ \ f_1\{\bar{L}_\lambda \not\nabla L_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{L} \not\nabla L_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{L}_{\lambda\lambda} \not\nabla L + g\bar{L}_\lambda \not{A}_\lambda L + g\bar{L} \not{A}_\lambda L_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}g\bar{L} \not{A}_{\lambda\lambda} L \\ &+ \ \bar{R}_\lambda \not\nabla R_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{R} \not\nabla R_{\lambda\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}_{\lambda\lambda} \not\nabla R + g^{'}\bar{R}_\lambda \not{B}_\lambda R + g^{'}\bar{R} \not{B}_\lambda R_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}g^{'}\bar{R} \not{B}_{\lambda\lambda} R\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the terms in the last line describe the interaction of the Abelian $U(1)_Y$ tensor fields $B_\mu, B_{\mu\lambda}, ...$ with the right-handed sector of new leptons.

9 *Tensor Extension of QCD*

It is also appealing to consider the tensor extension of QCD. The gauge group $SU(3)_c$ can be extended in the way described above and should contain additional tensor gluons

$$A_\mu, \quad A_{\mu\lambda}, ...$$

together with the higher-spin quarks

$$q, \quad q_\lambda, ...$$

The physical consequences and the phenomena of the gauge field condensation predicted in [22] will be studied in the extended model in the forthcoming publication. One should stress again that within the given extension it is impossible to predict masses of the tensor quarks, as it has been impossible to predict them within the standard model.

10 *Symmetrized Extended Gauge Transformation*

Let us consider the situation when the higher-rank tensor fields $A_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_s}$ are totally symmetric tensors. In that case the gauge transformation (9), (10), (27) should be modified in order to respect the symmetry properties of the tensor fields. Therefore we shall symmetrize the extended gauge transformation (9), (27) over all indices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta} A^a_{\mu} &= (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b, \\
\tilde{\delta} A^a_{\mu\nu} &= \underline{(\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_\nu} + g f^{acb} A^c_{\mu\nu}\xi^b, \\
\tilde{\delta} A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \underline{(\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_{\nu\lambda}} + g f^{acb}(\underline{A^c_{\mu\nu}\xi^b_\lambda} + A^c_{\mu\nu\lambda}\xi^b), \qquad (62)\\
\ldots\ldots\ldots &. \ \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots,
\end{aligned}$$

where we explicitly symmetrize the right-hand side of these equations over all space-time indices:

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{(\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_\nu} &= (\delta^{ab}\partial_\mu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_\nu + (\delta^{ab}\partial_\nu + g f^{acb} A^c_\mu)\xi^b_\mu \\
\underline{A^c_{\mu\nu}\xi^b_\lambda} &= A^c_{\mu\nu}\xi^b_\lambda + A^c_{\nu\lambda}\xi^b_\mu + A^c_{\lambda\mu}\xi^b_\nu
\end{aligned}$$

and so on. One should also require that the gauge parameters $\xi^a_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_s}$ are totally symmetric tensors. For example, in a matrix notation, we have

$$\tilde{\delta}_\xi A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - ig[A_\mu, \xi_\nu] + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu - ig[A_\nu, \xi_\mu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi].$$

First let us check, whether these transformations still form a closed algebra. The commutator of the above gauge transformations acting on rank-2 tensor is:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tilde{\delta}_\eta, \tilde{\delta}_\xi] A_{\mu\nu} &= -ig\ \delta_\eta\ (-ig[A_\mu, \xi_\nu] - ig[A_\nu, \xi_\mu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \xi]) + \\
&\quad\ ig\ \delta_\xi\ (-ig[A_\mu, \eta_\nu] - ig[A_\nu, \eta_\mu] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, \eta]) \\
&= -ig\{\ \partial_\mu([\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi]) - ig[A_\mu, [\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi]] + \\
&\quad\ \partial_\nu([\eta, \xi_\mu] + [\eta_\mu, \xi]) - ig[A_\nu, [\eta, \xi_\mu] + [\eta_\mu, \xi]] - ig[A_{\mu\nu}, [\eta, \xi]]\}
\end{aligned}$$

and is again a gauge transformation with gauge parameters

$$\zeta = [\eta, \xi], \qquad \zeta_\mu = [\eta, \xi_\nu] + [\eta_\nu, \xi].$$

We arrive to the same conclusion calculating the commutator of two gauge transformations acting on a third-rank tensor field:

$$[\tilde{\delta}_\eta, \tilde{\delta}_\xi] A_{\mu\nu\lambda} = -ig\ \tilde{\delta}_\zeta A_{\mu\nu\lambda}, \qquad (63)$$

where the gauge parameters form the same algebra as before (18). Therefore we conclude that the symmetrized gauge transformations still form a closed algebraic structure.

The gauge transformation of the field strength $G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda}$ will take the form

$$\tilde{\delta} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = g f^{abc}(\ G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\xi^c + G^b_{\mu\nu}\xi^c_\lambda\ ) + \nabla^{ab}_\mu \nabla^{bc}_\lambda \xi^c_\nu - \nabla^{ab}_\nu \nabla^{bc}_\lambda \xi^c_\mu. \qquad (64)$$

This transformation is inhomogeneous and is essentially different from the extended gauge transformation of the field strength considered in the main text (12):

$$\delta G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} = g f^{abc}(\ G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\xi^c + G^b_{\mu\nu}\xi^c_\lambda\ ).$$

The additional two terms, which break the homogeneity of the gauge transformation of the field strength, appear because we have introduced the symmetrization with respect to all indices in the extended gauge transformation (62). These inhomogeneous terms and the appearance of non-commutative covariant derivatives in the field strength-curvature transformation (64) are the main obstacles in the construction of the interacting field theory with totally symmetric tensors.

In the weak coupling limit $g \to 0$ the transformation (62) reduces to the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_\xi A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi \\ \tilde{\delta}_\xi A_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \xi_\nu + \partial_\nu \xi_\mu, \\ \tilde{\delta}_\xi A_{\mu\nu\lambda} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu \xi_{\lambda\mu} + \partial_\lambda \xi_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

and coincides with the transformation considered by Fronsdal [46] and other authors [36, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53]. The above transformation was considered as a zero-order approximation for some yet unknown transformation, which should appear as its higher-order deformation. The above transformation law $\tilde{\delta}_\xi$ suggests a particular solution and partially explains why this approach leads to difficulties.

11 *Conclusion*

In the present paper we have extended the gauge principle so that it enlarges the original algebra of the Abelian local gauge transformations found in [30] to the non-Abelian case and unify into one multiplet particles with arbitrary spins. As we have seen, this leads to a natural generalization of the Yang-Mills theory which describes interaction of tensor gauge bosons. The key ingredient of the extension was a previous discovery of the Abelian higher-spin gauge transformations of the ground state wave function of the tensionless string theory [30] (expression (64) in [30]). The extended non-Abelian gauge transformations defined for the tensor gauge fields have led us to the construction of the appropriate field strength tensors and of the invariant Lagrangian.

As an example of an extended gauge field theory with infinite many gauge fields, this theory *can be associated* with the field theory of the tensionless strings, because in our generalization of the non-Abelian Yang-Mills theory we essentially used the symmetry group which appears as symmetry of the ground state wave function of the tensionless strings. Nevertheless I do not know how to derive it directly from tensionless strings.

The proposed extension may lead to a natural inclusion of the standard theory of fundamental forces into a larger theory in which standard particles (vector gauge bosons, leptons and quarks) represent a low-spin subgroup of an enlarged family of particles with higher spins. The conjectured extension of the fundamental forces can in principle be checked in future experiments.

There are some interesting observations which follow from the proposed theory concerning the structure of elementary particles and their constituents. We do not know, whether the proposed theory indeed describes the observed micro-cosmos, but if it does, then it might suggest some new insight to the fundamental questions of the atomic theory, that is, whether there exist the most elementary constituents of the matter in micro-cosmos - its ultimate building blocks, and if indeed they exist, then how many they are. As one can see, if one supposes that the ultimate building blocks are the gauge bosons, quarks and leptons, then there is a possibility that they are just the first members of the constituent structure with infinite many tensor particles.

I would like to thank Konstantin Savvidy for collaboration in the field of tensionless strings. The discussions and support of Lars Brink and Ludwig Faddeev are greatly appreciated. I would like to express my gratitude to Jan Ambjorn and Des Johnson for their long term support. I wish also to thank Luis Alvarez-Gaume, Ignatios Antoniadis, Ioannis Bakas, Raymond Stora and Peter Minkowski for discussions and CERN Theory Division, where part of this work was completed, for hospitality. This work was partially supported by the EEC Grant no. HPRN-CT-1999-00161 and EEC Grant no. MRTN-CT-2004-005616.

12 *Appendix*

In order to prove that the extended gauge transformations form a closed algebraic structure in general case one should us the following identities:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's}[\ \eta_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}, \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}\ ] = \sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's}[\ \eta_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}, \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}\ ], \tag{66}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's}[[\ A_\mu, \xi_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}], \eta_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}\ ] = \sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's}[[A_\mu, \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}], \eta_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}\ ], \tag{67}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i}\sum_{P's}[[\ A_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_j}, \xi_{\lambda_{j+1}\ldots\lambda_i}], \eta_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}\ ] = \sum_{j=1}^{i}\sum_{P's}[[A_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_j}, \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}], \eta_{\lambda_{j+1}\ldots\lambda_i}\ ]. \tag{68}$$

In particular, they allow to demonstrate that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's}[\ \partial_\mu \eta_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}, \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}\ ] + [\ \eta_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}, \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}\ ] = \sum_{i=0}^{s}\sum_{P's}\partial_\mu[\ \eta_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_i}, \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}\ ].$$

One should also use the Jacoby identity in the form

$$[[A_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_j}, \eta_{\lambda_{j+1}\ldots\lambda_i}], \xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}] + [[\xi_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}, A_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_j}], \eta_{\lambda_{j+1}\ldots\lambda_i}] = [A_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_j}, [\eta_{\lambda_{i+1}\ldots\lambda_s}, \xi_{\lambda_{j+1}\ldots\lambda_i}]].$$

References

[1] C.N.Yang and R.L.Mills. "Conservation of Isotopic Spin and Isotopic Gauge Invariance". Phys. Rev. **96** (1954) 191

[2] H.Yukawa. "On the Interaction of Elementary Particles" I, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan **17** (1935) 48

[3] J. S. Schwinger, "A Theory Of The Fundamental Interactions," Annals Phys. **2** (1957) 407.

[4] S. L. Glashow, "Partial Symmetries Of Weak Interactions," Nucl. Phys. **22** (1961) 579.

[5] A.Salam, In Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity (Nobel Symposium No.8), edited by N.Svartholm, (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm,1968)

[6] S.Weinberg. A model of Leptons. Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967) 1264

[7] R. P. Feynman, "Quantum Theory Of Gravitation," Acta Phys. Polon. **24** (1963) 697.

[8] L. D. Faddeev and V. N. Popov, "Feynman Diagrams For The Yang-Mills Field," Phys. Lett. B **25** (1967) 29; "Perturbation Theory For Gauge Invariant Fields," FERMILAB-PUB-72-057-T

[9] B. S. DeWitt, "Quantum Theory Of Gravity. II,III," Phys. Rev. **162** (1967) 1195,1239.

[10] S. Mandelstam, "Feynman Rules For Electromagnetic And Yang-Mills Fields From The Gauge Independent Field Theoretic Formalism," Phys. Rev. **175** (1968) 1580.

[11] A. A. Slavnov and L. D. Faddeev, "Massless And Massive Yang-Mills Field. (In Russian)," Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1970) 312 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **3** (1970) 18].

[12] G. 't Hooft, "Renormalization Of Massless Yang-Mills Fields," Nucl. Phys. B **33** (1971) 173.

[13] G. 't Hooft, "Renormalizable Lagrangians For Massive Yang-Mills Fields," Nucl. Phys. B **35** (1971) 167.

[14] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, "Regularization And Renormalization Of Gauge Fields," Nucl. Phys. B **44** (1972) 189.

[15] B. W. Lee, "Renormalizable Massive Vector Meson Theory. Perturbation Theory Of The Higgs Phenomenon," Phys. Rev. D **5** (1972) 823.

[16] B. W. Lee and J. Zinn-Justin, "Spontaneously Broken Gauge Symmetries. I,II,III." Phys. Rev. D **5** (1972) 3121,3137,3155.

[17] A. A. Slavnov, "Ward Identities In Gauge Theories," Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (1972) 99 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **10** (1972) 153].

[18] J. C. Taylor, "Ward Identities And Charge Renormalization Of The Yang-Mills Field," Nucl. Phys. B **33** (1971) 436.

[19] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, "Ultraviolet Behavior Of Non-Abelian Gauge Theories," Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1343 (1973).

[20] H. D. Politzer, "Reliable Perturbative Results For Strong Interactions?," Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973).

[21] H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann and H. Leutwyler, "Advantages Of The Color Octet Gluon Picture," Phys. Lett. B **47** (1973) 365.

[22] G. K. Savvidy, "Infrared Instability Of The Vacuum State Of Gauge Theories And Asymptotic Freedom," Phys. Lett. B **71** (1977) 133.

[23] V.Fock. Über die invariante Form der Wellen- und der Bewegungsgleichungen für einen geladenen Massenpunkt. Z. für Physik 39 (1927) 226

[24] O.Klein. Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie. Z. für Physik 37 (1926) 895

[25] F.London. Quantenmechanische Deutung der Theorie von Weyl. Z. für Physik 42 (1927) 375

[26] H.Weyl. ”Elektron und Gravitation” Z. für Physik 56 (1929) 330;
H.Weyl. Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik. Ch.IV. Leipzig,1928

[27] W.Pauli und W.Heisenberg. ” Zur Quantentheorie der Wellenfelder. II” Z. für Physik 59 (1930) 168;
W.Pauli. Relativistic Field Theories of Elementary Particles. Rev. Mod. Phys. **13** (1941) 203

[28] S.S.Chern. *Topics in Defferential Geometry*, Ch. III ”Theory of Connections” (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1951)

[29] G. K. Savvidy, Conformal Invariant Tensionless Strings, Phys. Lett. B **552** (2003) 72.

[30] G. K. Savvidy, “Tensionless strings: Physical Fock space and higher spin fields,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, (2004) 3171-3194.

[31] H.Yukawa. ”Quantum Theory of Non-Local Fields. Part I. Free Fields” Phys. Rev. **77** (1950) 219
M. Fierz. ”Non-Local Fields” Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 184

[32] L. Alvarez-Gaume, I. Antoniadis, L. Brink, K. Narain and G. Savvidy “Tensionless Strings, Vertex Operators and Scattering Amplitudes ”, Preprint CERN-PH-TH/2004-095 and NRCPS-HE-2004-13.

[33] G. Savvidy, “Tensionless strings, correspondence with SO(D,D) sigma model,” arXiv:hep-th/0502114, Phys.Lett. B

[34] E.Majorana. Teoria Relativistica di Particelle con Momento Intrinseco Arbitrario. Nuovo Cimento **9** (1932) 335

[35] P.A.M.Dirac. Relativistic wave equations. Proc. Roy. Soc. **A155** (1936) 447;
Unitary Representation of the Lorentz Group. Proc. Roy. Soc. **A183** (1944) 284.

[36] M. Fierz. Helv. Phys. Acta. **12** (1939) 3.
M. Fierz and W. Pauli. On Relativistic Wave Equations for Particles of Arbitrary Spin in an Electromagnetic Field. Proc. Roy. Soc. **A173** (1939) 211.

[37] E. Wigner. On Unitary Representations of the Inhomogeneous Lorentz Group. Ann. Math. **40** (1939) 149.

[38] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger. On a Theory of Particles with Half-Integral Spin. Phys. Rev. **60** (1941) 61

[39] J.Schwinger. *Particles, Sourses, and Fields* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970)

[40] S. Weinberg, "Feynman Rules For Any Spin," Phys. Rev. **133** (1964) B1318.

[41] S. Weinberg, "Feynman Rules For Any Spin. 2: Massless Particles," Phys. Rev. **134** (1964) B882.

[42] S. Weinberg, "Photons And Gravitons In S Matrix Theory: Derivation Of Charge Conservation And Equality Of Gravitational And Inertial Mass," Phys. Rev. **135** (1964) B1049.

[43] S. J. Chang. Lagrange Formulation for Systems with Higher Spin. Phys.Rev. **161** (1967) 1308

[44] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen. Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. I. The boson case. Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898

[45] L. P. S. Singh and C. R. Hagen. Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. II. The fermion case. Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898, 910

[46] C.Fronsdal. Massless fields with integer spin, Phys.Rev. **D18** (1978) 3624

[47] J.Fang and C.Fronsdal. Massless fields with half-integral spin, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 3630

[48] N.S.Gupta. "Gravitation and Electromagnetism". Phys. Rev. **96**, (1954) 1683 .

[49] R.H.Kraichnan. "Special-relativistic derivation of generally covariant gravitation theory". Phys. Rev. **98**, (1955) 1118.

[50] W.E.Thirring. "An alternative approach to the theory of gravitation". Ann. Phys. **16**, (1961) 96.

[51] R.P.Feynman. "Feynman Lecture on Gravitation". Westview Press 2002.

[52] S.Deser. "Self-interaction and gauge invariance". Gen. Rel. Grav. **1**, (1970) 9.

[53] J.Fang and C.Fronsdal. "Deformation of gauge groups. Gravitation". J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2264

[54] S.Weinberg and E.Witten, "Limits on massless particles," Phys. Lett. B **96** (1980) 59.

[55] C. Aragone and S. Deser, "Constraints on gravitationally coupled tensor fields," Nuovo. Cimento. **3**A (1971) 709;
C.Aragone and S.Deser, "Consistancy problems of hypergavity," Phys. Lett. B **86** (1979) 161.

[56] F. A. Berends, G. J. H Burgers and H. Van Dam, "On the Theoretical problems in Constructing Interactions Involving Higher-Spin Massless Particles," Nucl. Phys. B **260** (1985) 295.

[57] B.deWit, F.A. Berends, J.W.van Halten and P.Nieuwenhuizen, “On Spin-5/2 gauge fields,” J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16** (1983) 543.

[58] M.A.Vasiliev, ”Progress in Higher Spin Gauge Theories” hep-th/0104246; M.A.Vasiliev et. al. ”Nonlinear Higher Spin Theories in Various Domensions” hep-th/0503128

[59] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, “Holography in 4D (Super) Higher Spin Theories and a Test via Cubic Scalar Couplings,” hep-th/0305040

[60] A. Sagnotti, E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, “On higher spins with a strong Sp(2,R) condition,” arXiv:hep-th/0501156.

[61] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, “Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrary Spin,” Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 31.

[62] A. K. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, “Cubic Interaction Terms For Arbitrarily Extended Supermultiplets,” Nucl. Phys. B **227** (1983) 41.

[63] G. Savvidy and K. Savvidy. “Gonihedric strings and Asymptotic Freedom,” Mod. Phys. Lett.**A8** (1993) 2963; G. K. Savvidy, “Gonihedric string equation,” Phys. Lett. B **438** (1998) 69